UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,370	12/10/2004	Vincent Municre	Q85119	3957
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 02/27/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITĖ 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			NGUYEN, SIMON	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBÉR
			2618	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/517,370	MUNIERE, VINCENT	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SIMON D. NGUYEN	2618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. FD (35 U.S.C. & 133)	
Status	·		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 D This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 December 2004 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. re: a) accepted or b) objection is required if the drawing(s) is objective.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The subject matter of this application admits of illustration by a drawing to facilitate understanding of the invention. Applicant is required to furnish a drawing under 37 CFR 1.81(c).

The drawing of figure 1 is objected to because it fails to label or name components for one to facilitate understanding of the invention. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

2. For claims 9-11, it is suggested to combine into one claim as an apparatus to a method of claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the

Application/Control Number: 10/517,370

Art Unit: 2618

applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1, 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Vedrine (6,898,194).

Regarding claim 1, Vedrine discloses a method of support a real time packet transmission (abstract), comprising: a radio access network (RNC) and a core network (SGSN) (fig.1), wherein the real time traffic supported in a packet mode in the core network for allocating dedicated channels (figs.1, column 7 lines 18-22, column 8 lines 25-29).

Regarding claims 9-11, these claims are rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 1, as apparatus for implement the above method.

5. Claims 1, 5-7, 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Willars et al. (6,889,050).

Regarding claim 1, Willars discloses method and apparatus for a real time packet transmission (abstract) comprising: a radio access network (RAN) and a core network (figs.1-3), wherein the real time traffic supported in a packet mode in the core network for allocating dedicated channels (column 7 lines 57-60).

Regarding claim 5, Willar further discloses the packet is a multimedia packet (column 6 line 40).

Regarding claim 6, Willar further discloses a page response (column 6 lines 54, 60).

Application/Control Number: 10/517,370

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 7, Willar further discloses the RNC via a base station allocating the dedicated channels (column 6 lines 64-65, column 7 lines 49-56).

Regarding claims 9-11, Willar discloses apparatus for implement the method above in the RAN, the core network, and the mobile unit (figs.1-9).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 2-4, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Willars et al. (6,889,050) in view of Forssell et al. (6,683,860).

Regarding claims 2-4, Willars fails to teach a packet flow context.

Forssell discloses a real time packet transmission in which a dedicated channel allocation is performed on creating a packet flow context by a RAN, wherein the packet flow context contains QoS to be offered by the RAN and negotiated with the core network (column 6 lines 61-62, column 1 line 46, column 4 line 22, column 7 lines 1-13, column 2 lines 50-54). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have Willars, modified by Forssell in order to improve the packet transmission.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,370

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 8, Willars further discloses each mobile unit having its own identifier in order for the base station to identify (column 6 lines 43-49, however, Willars fails to teach a packet flow context.

Forssell discloses a real time packet transmission in which a dedicated channel allocation is performed on creating a packet flow context, wherein the packet flow context contains QoS (column 6 lines 61-62, column 1 line 46, column 4 line 22, column 7 lines 1-13, column 2 lines 50-54). It should be noted that the allocation resources for reallocating dedicated channels from a first mobile station to other mobile stations is well known to those skilled in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have Willars, modified by Forssell in order to improve the packet transmission.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Simon Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-7894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00 AM to 4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward F. Urban, can be reached on (571) 272-7899.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Art Unit: 2618

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

600 Dulany, Alexandria, VA 22314

Or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300 (for formal communications intended for entry)

Hand-delivered response should be brought to Customer Service Window located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany, Alexandria, VA, 22314.

Simon Nguyen

February 7, 2007

SIMON NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER